

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media ownership's
political bias.
Combine this with
media conglomeration
(and how is that
good for the economy
again?), and you get
a few powerful
people able to exert
undue influence over
the public.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. Airing
this documentary
sounds pretty biased
to me. I haven't
seen it, but from
the sounds of it,
it's not too
balanced. POW's
talking about how
much they were hurt
by Kerry's words as
they sat in VC
jails? Come on. For
all the coverage
about Kerry's
Vietnam activities,
nobody has mentioned
that massacres DID
occur (my lai). We
DID bomb civilians.
We even bombed other
countries. These are
all matters of
public record. I
mean, dude, the
Vietnam war was
fucked up, and it's
ridiculous to air
Kerry's comments
back then and then
have POWs say that
his comments were
hurtful to them.
DUDE, the truth
fucking hurts
sometimes. America
doesn't always make
the right decisions.
And both Americans

and non-Americans
get killed by our
militaries actions.
Why not present a
real balanced view?
Particularly when
this obviously bears
upon the coming
election. I mean,
this is just another
case that shows this
election has
exceeded the limits.
You at that FCC have
some small part in
this. AT LEAST try
and tow the line on
ridiculous political
manuevers this once.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need
some rules about
purported 'news'
coverage. Why can't
we be presented with
REAL news, instead
of pointless,
ill-informed tripe.
The FCC can regulate
all kinds of things
that are harmful to
viewers. Why not
mis-information?